

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/802,711	03/17/2004	Griffin T. Suelzer	SUG0001.US	4829
75	90 12/08/2004		EXAMINER	
Todd T. Taylor			AVILA, STEPHEN P	
TAYLOR & A	UST, P.C.			
142 S. Main St.		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. Box 560			3617	
Avilla, IN 467	710		DATE MAN ED. 12/08/200	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	(V			
		10/802,711	SUELZER, GRIFFIN T.	•			
`	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Stephen Avila	3617				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communica or Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address				
A SH THE - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA assions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) to period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply will reply received by the Office later than three months after ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. TOP (1.136(a)). In no event, however, may a recation. ays, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty ory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT, by statute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>17 March</u> 2004.					
·		This action is non-final.	; :				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims		€ : :				
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) <u>9-11</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1,2,5,7 and 8</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>3,4 and 6</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from consideration.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the E	Examiner.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) dobjected to b	by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection	on to the drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	,			
_	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	•	l).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to b	y the Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)		ocuments have been received. Ocuments have been received in A Ocuments documents have been Ocuments have been Ocuments have been Ocuments have been Ocuments have been	oplication No received in this National Stage				
Attachmen	t(s)						
2) Notice 3) Infor	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT er No(s)/Mail Date <u>031704</u> .)-948) Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				

Application/Control Number: 10/802,711 Page 2

Art Unit: 3617

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or idescribed as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 2 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McCarthy (cited by Applicant). McCarthy discloses the basic claimed structure including a marine structure with a platform 8, a pest deterrent including a hub 15, wind collectors 20, 21, arms 17 and bearings 10. Note that the arms can be at an angle to the horizontal (Figure 2). Not disclosed by McCarthy is the particular claimed ratio and the platform being a boat lift or dock. It would have been an obvious choice of engineering design to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have been to form the device of McCarthy with the particular ratio of moment of inertia for improved pest deterrence and to mount the device to a dock or lift for deterring birds in a marine environment.
- 3. Claims 5 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McCarthy in view of Gross (both cited by Applicant). McCarthy does not disclose a cup. Gross teaches a cup 40. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the collectors of McCarthy to be cups as taught by Gross for improved air collection. Additionally, it would have been an obvious choice of engineering design to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have been to form the cup of greater than 3 inches to collect more air.

4. Claims 3, 4, and 6 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

- 5. Claims 9-11 are allowed.
- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Porter shows a pest deterrent.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen Avila whose telephone number is 703-308-2578. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 8 AM to 4 PM (EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Samuel J. Morano can be reached on 703-308-0230. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Stephen Avila

Primary Examiner Art Unit 3617 λ
